


# Defining the roles of conserved DNA repair complexes in maintenance of *C. elegans* meiotic genome integrity

Alina D. Salagean<sup>1</sup>, Erik P. Toraason<sup>1</sup>, and Diana E. Libuda<sup>1</sup>  
Institute of Molecular Biology, Department of Biology, University of Oregon<sup>1</sup>




## Abstract

Most organisms utilize meiosis, a specialized form of cell division, to produce haploid gametes such as sperm and eggs. Failure to maintain genomic integrity during meiosis can result in infertility and serious diseases, such as cancer and birth defects. Despite these risks, double strand DNA breaks (DSBs) are intentionally induced during meiotic prophase I. Meiotic cells must repair a specific subset of DSBs through interhomolog crossover recombination to ensure accurate chromosome segregation, while the remainder are resolved through alternative repair pathways to maintain genome integrity. Interhomolog recombination has been studied extensively, but the mechanisms of alternative meiotic DNA repair remain elusive. The Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes 5/6 complex (SMC-5/6), its E3 SUMO ligase subunit NSE-2, and the BRC-1/BRD-1 heterodimer are conserved proteins required for homolog-independent meiotic DSB repair and have been shown to genetically interact. However, the specific mechanisms by which these proteins function together to preserve meiotic genome integrity is unknown. To determine the NSE-2 specific and NSE-2 independent meiotic functions of the SMC-5/6 complex in meiotic DSB repair, we utilized immunofluorescence imaging and a mortal germline phenotype assay to assess *smc-5* and *nse-2* *C. elegans* mutants. Both *smc-5* and *nse-2* mutants exhibit persistent DNA damage, suggesting that both SMC-5/6 and NSE-2 are required for efficient meiotic DSB repair. However, we find that SMC-5/6, but not NSE-2, is required for germline immortality. These data suggest a separation of function for SMC-5/6, which performs NSE-2 dependent and independent functions to maintain meiotic genome integrity. Finally, to define epistatic relationships between BRC-1/BRD-1, SMC-5/6, and NSE-2 in DNA repair, we assessed the germline sensitivity to ionizing radiation by brood viability of pairwise *brc-1*, *smc-5*, and *nse-2* double mutants. These data suggest that exogenous DSB repair is differentially regulated within meiotic prophase I and implicate SMC-5/6 as a central regulator of both NSE-2 and BRC-1 dependent DSB repair. Taken together, our research defines fundamental genetic mechanisms and interactions preserving genomic integrity.

## How is genome integrity maintained across generations?




## SMC-5/6 performs NSE-2 dependent and independent functions



- Both NSE-2 and SMC-5/6 are required for efficient meiotic DNA repair
- The SMC-5/6 complex has NSE-2 independent functions in meiotic apoptosis and the maintenance of fertility.

## SMC-5/6, NSE-2, and BRC-1/BRD-1 are differentially engaged within meiotic prophase I to resolve exogenous DNA damage



- SMC-5/6, NSE-2, and BRC-1/BRD-1 are differentially engaged within meiotic prophase I to resolve exogenous DNA damage.
- SMC-5/6 and BRC-1/BRD-1 or NSE-2 may act redundantly to suppress alternative and potentially mutagenic DNA repair pathways in late meiotic prophase I.

## Future Directions

- Define the contributions of NSE-2, and BRC-1 to sister chromatid repair.
  - SMC-5/6 promotes efficient sister chromatid repair – do NSE-2 and BRC-1?
  - Perform the sister chromatid repair assay in single and double mutants.
- Quantify the dynamics of DNA repair.
  - Quantify RAD-51 foci in single and double mutant gonads.
- Visualize repair complex localization.
  - Assess SMC-5, SMC-6, and NSE-2 localization in wild-type and mutant contexts.

## Acknowledgments and Funding

Research reported in this poster was supported by Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development of the National Institutes of Health under award number R25HD0708. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

National Institutes of Health  
Knight Campus Undergraduate Scholars  
SPUR  
Libuda Lab  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

## Contact

Alina D. Salagean  
[asalagea@uoregon.edu](mailto:asalagea@uoregon.edu)  
Erik P. Toraason  
[etoraaso@uoregon.edu](mailto:etoraaso@uoregon.edu)  
Diana E. Libuda  
[dlibuda@uoregon.edu](mailto:dlibuda@uoregon.edu)