Ecological drivers of CRISPR immune systems

Wei Xiao, Jake L Weissman, Philip L F Johnson

College of Computer, Mathematical, and Natural Sciences, University of Maryland

Introduction Methods CRISPR annotation extracted from: Microbe Density/Diversity Source CRISPR-Cas is the only known adaptive immune system of Pros & Cons Source Densitv Diversitv prokarvotes. It is a powerful defense system against mobile Identify CRISPR arrays with CRISPRCasFinder from genetic elements such as bacteriophages. Certain and complete but less (1) Reads of 16s rRNA Complete genomes in Refseg accurate about environments No CRISPE Identify CRISPR arrays from metagenome-assembled Less complete but more metagenome-Metagenomic (2) genomes or *cas2* gene from metagenomic samples ecologically relevant assembled genomes samples Results CRISPR~Diversity (Compare between samples) CRISPR~Density (Compare within sample) •Method (1): ~2000 oral 0.0 •Method (1): 139 samples -0516s rRNA samples from from Tara Ocean Project. Je Environment Human Microbiome •CRISPR systems are ncide DCM Proiect. significantly favored in ▲ While CRISPR-Cas systems Bacter MES can be found throughout the lower abundance (less CRISPR system SPR SRE incidence significantly dense) taxa and disfavored prokarvotic tree of life, they correlated with diversity in in higher abundance taxa. ž distribute unevenly across taxa human oral environments. and environments. ► In general, adaptive immunity CRISPR % in common genera (top 50% in sample) •Method (2): 2631 Tara is more useful in environments. Shannon's Diversity Ocean metagenome-•Method (2): ~2000 where pathogens persist or IS: Immune system Environment 1.00 metagenomic samples assembled genomes. reoccur. Probability DCM The probability of having from Human Microbiome $r^2 = 0.13$ 0.95 MES Theoretical models have predicted that CRISPR is ideal in low CRISPR array as found by Project. SRF pathogen density environments,^[1] where the microbe density is •The normalized cas2 N 1 CRISPRCasFinder Cumulative likely to be low as well. A recent experiment showed that microbes abundance significantly accumulates faster in lower 0.85 were more likely to acquire CRISPR immunity in environments correlated with diversity in relative abundance 0.80 with more host diversity.^[2] Given this theory and experiment, we No CRISPR human oral environments. aenomes. 0.75 Have CRISPF sought to test hypotheses connecting CRISPR incidence with 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1 00 prokarvotic density/diversity by analyzing 16S rRNA and DCM: deep chlorophyll maximum layer; MES: **Relative Abundance** metagenomic data from publicly available environmental mesopelagic Zone; SRF: upper layer zone Shannon's Diversity sequencing projects. Conclusion

[1]. Mayer, Andreas, et al. "Diversity of immune strategies explained by adaptation to pathogen statistics." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113.31 (2016): 8630-8635. [2] Alseth, Ellinor O., et al. "Bacterial biodiversity drives the evolution of CRISPR-based phage resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa." bioRxiv (2019): 586115. Our results show patterns consistent with previous modeling of density^[1] and previous experiment manipulates diversity^[2]. Together, these observations confirm that, at least in certain types of environments, the prokaryotic ecological context indeed plays a key role in selecting for CRISPR immunity, potentially due to correlations with pathogen dynamics.